A Global Corporate Sustainability Model



DR. GORDON W. ARBOGAST DR. BARRY THORNTON 2010 AABRI CONFERENCE ORLANDO, FLORIDA, SEPTEMBER 2010

Executive Summary

- Improving Sustainability a Corporate Objective
- Corporate Knights Research Group (CKRG)identified the top 100 "most sustainable companies" in 2010.
- This paper reports on a regression model that was developed to identify independent variables for success in sustainability.
- The model explains approximately 50% of the variability in sustainability.



Background

Corporate perception of sustainability

- Potential to lose competitive advantage
- o Disadvantage compare to rivals in developing countries
- Add to cost
- Will not deliver immediate benefits

• The Reality Is...

- Lead to lower cost
- o Became more efficient
- o Increased revenue



Background

- Firms are seeking ways to improve sustainability
- To be considered a "sustainable company," the most notable factor is to operate without leaving a significant footprint on the environment.
- Some studies have been conducted to identify variables that contribute to sustainability.
- One variable that has been identified is diversity.











Problem Statement/ Hypotheses

<u>Null Hypothesis:</u> There is no relationship between firms that are ranked as sustainability leaders and the following factors: (1) leadership diversity; (2) Industry Group Percentile (IGP) based on waste productivity; (3) IGP based on water productivity; (4) IGP based on energy productivity; (5) sustainability leadership; (6) IGP based on carbon productivity; (7) percent tax paid in cash; and (8) sustainability remuneration.



Problem Statement/ Hypotheses

 <u>Alternative Hypothesis:</u> There is a relationship between firms that are ranked as sustainability leaders and the following factors: (1) leadership diversity; (2) Industry Group Percentile (IGP) based on waste productivity; (3) IGP based on water productivity; (4) IGP based on energy productivity; (5) sustainability leadership; (6) IGP based on carbon productivity; (7) percent tax paid in cash; and (8) sustainability remuneration.



Research Design and Methodology

- Data from the CKRG of the 100 top ranked sustainable organizations was employed.
- Data was collected relating to potential independent variables for the organizations above.
- For the analysis, 9 independent variables were identified for consideration and testing.



Initial Potential Independent Variables

- <u>Energy Productivity</u>* (US\$) –Sales (US\$) / Total direct and indirect energy consumption in gigajoules.
- <u>Carbon Productivity</u>* (US\$) Sales (US\$) / Total CO2 and CO2 equivalents emissions in tons.
- <u>Water Used</u>* (US\$) Sales (US\$) / Total water use in cubic meters.
- <u>Waste Productivity</u>* (US\$) Sales (US\$) / Total amount of waste produced in tons.
- *Leadership Diversity* % of women on the board.
 - * Industry Group Percentile was used to better normalize this variable within the various industries investigated.

Initial Potential Independent Variables

- <u>Sustainability Leadership-</u> a weighted discrete variable based on: (1) if a sustainability committee existed; and (2) whether a director was on it.
- <u>Sustainability Remuneration</u> whether at least one senior officer has his/her pay linked to sustainability.
- <u>% Tax</u> % of tax obligation to the government paid in cash
- <u>*Transparency*</u> % of data points on which the company provided data.

Initial Regression Model

The initial regression equation was:
Rest = b0 + b1IGPEP+ b2IGPCP + b3IGPWP
+ b4IGPWasP + b5LD + b6PTP + b7SL
+ b8T + b9SR

 Where Rest is estimated rank, bo is the constant, and bi is the estimated coefficient on the ith independent variable, and IGPEP is industry group percentile energy productivity, etc.



Regression Test

- A backward elimination stepwise regression was used to generate the final regression equation .
- Final regression equation contained only those independent variables having estimated regression coefficients with p-values less than 0.10.
- Rejected the null hypothesis for each variable that entered based on these tests.



Model Results

- Six variable model resulted (3 variables left out).
- First variable to enter the model was leadership diversity (p value of .006.)
- Second variable to enter was IGP for waste productivity, followed by IGP for water productivity.
- Last three independent variables to enter were sustainability leadership, IGP for energy productivity and percent tax paid in cash



Model Results

- Four of the variables were significant at a 1% level.
- Two other variables were significant at the 5% and 10% level respectively.
- All coefficient signs were intuitively correct except the 5th variable to enter (IGP for energy productivity).
- R- squared was 49.6% indicating that roughly ½ of the variability in sustainability success was explained by the model.
- A four variable model would produce 40%



Conclusions

- Reject the null hypothesis for 6 variables; accept the alternative hypothesis for these 6 variables.
- The six variables were: board leadership, IGP for waste productivity, IGP for water productivity, IGP for sustainability leadership, IGP for energy productivity, and per cent tax paid in cash.
- Half of the variability of Sustainable Success may well be explained by these 6 independent variables.
- Of the 6 variables remaining in the stepwise regression equation, board diversity had the least potential for Type 1 Error (P-value of 0.00)

Recommendations

A firm's leadership should consider the following:

- Create gender and more racially diverse boards
- Focus attention on waste, water, and energy productivity.
- Ensure that a sustainability committee exists in the corporation and that at least one of the Board of Directors sits on the committee.
- Invest in sustainable business practices
- Let shareholders and customers know that they embrace sustainability in a major way

Recommendations

- Independent variables found to be significant need further research.
- In coming years there will be data available to conduct more in-depth, longitudinal surveys.
- The positive sign that was counterintuitive in IGP for Energy Productivity needs further research.
- Further research should be conducted on the relationship of other diversity factors (besides women on the Board of Directors) on sustainability success.

