

Hurricane Harvey's Impact on Households' Disposable Income and Real Estate Values in the Southeast Texas Region

James L Slaydon

Lamar University

Gevorg Sargsyan

Lamar University

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the impact of Hurricane Harvey on households' disposable income and values of flooded houses in South East Texas region. The disposable income analysis is based upon data obtained from the reports of 10 local credit unions and from the National Credit Union Administration. The data of the flooded 48 houses are used for the analysis of the values of flooded homes pulled from the Multiple Listing Service and the Jefferson County Tax Assessor's Office. Based on data analysis of this small sample of financial institutions, the findings suggest that the residents of Southeast Texas obligated to use more of their disposable income to maintain the pre-Harvey levels. The results of real estate analysis show a significant drop in value for the flooded houses with few having flood insurance looking at both the sales prices and new appraise values by the tax assessor.

Keywords: Hurricane Harvey's impact, Real estate values, Households Disposable Income

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

More than 51 inches rain dropped in Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas, which broke the record of 48 inches set in 1978. (Holmes, 2017) Harvey was one of the most expensive hurricanes in United States history after Hurricane Katrina, 2005. The property damage and impact on households of the South East Texas region were substantial. The objective of this research was to analyze the impact of Hurricane Harvey on households' disposable income and values of flooded houses. The disposable income analysis is based upon data obtained from the reports of local credit unions and from the National Credit Union Administration. The small sample of flooded houses used for the analysis of real estate values.

According to The Wall Street Journal hurricane Harvey had a temporary impact on employment. "Payroll employment growth slowed in the weeks after Harvey, rising just 14,000 in September, and then bounced back with growth of 271,000 the following month." (Torry & Chaney, 2018) Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts considered a reduction in the productivity of labor. Salaried employees remained unaffected, while non-salaried employees experienced a deep decrease in their income. However, Comptroller assumed that the negative impact on employment would be counterbalanced by recovery efforts. (Hegar, 2018)

Storms had a significant effect on households checking account deposits and expenditures. "Checking account deposits for consumers were more than 20 percent (\$400) lower and expenditures were more than 30 percent (\$500) lower than the baseline in the week of Harvey." (Greig et al., 2018) Hurricane also had a big impact on debt return. "Debt payments dropped by more than 15 percent in the week of landfall and cumulatively remained lower than baseline 12 weeks after Hurricane Harvey." (Greig et al., 2018)

Harvey's direct impact on households' can be summarized as follows:

- 88 fatalities,
- 44,000 people forced to shelters,
- 450,000 people needed assistance to recover,
- employment grew only by 14,000 in September,
- 370,000 customer lost electricity,
- 120,000 customers left without water in Beaumont. (Aon Benfield, 2018)

The intensive construction and current infrastructure were not prepared to handle more than 51 inches of rain. The clay soil type provided an additional risk for flooding. (Ramchand & Krishnamoorti, 2017) This factors led that Harvey destroyed \$125 billion worth of property including damaged:

- 148,000 single-family homes,
- 163,000 apartments,
- 500,000 cars. (Ramchand & Krishnamoorti, 2017)

According to Goldman Sachs, rebuilding efforts has the capacity to offset slowdowns. Long rebuilding, construction, and purchases lead to an economic boost. (Torry & Chaney, 2018)

The Analysis of Hurricane Harvey's Impact on Households Disposable Income

Using 10 local credit unions and other local data sources; this study shows a glimpse into the impact Hurricane Harvey had on the Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas. The report for each credit union was pulled from the National Credit Union Administration to gather the data examined. The results are only a sampling of the effects of Hurricane Harvey on resident's disposable income and savings. The authors believe that many residents used their savings and borrowed money to repair and replace lost items due to the flooding. This study shows that residents replaced or repaired the damage due to flooding from Hurricane Harvey using their monthly disposable income and/or savings. These losses potentially cause their ability to afford health care, higher education, travel, and other costs.

The obtained data used to examine the need for financial services in Southeast Texas after Hurricane Harvey. As indicated in Table 1 (Appendix) local credit unions had an increase of \$132,393,658 (7%) in 2017 in total loans outstanding from \$1,894,314,692 in 2016 to \$2,025,708,623 in 2017. Through this small sample of only 10 credit unions research shows that the number and the amounts of various types of loans increased significantly after Hurricane Harvey by 6.5% in 2017 over 2016. The number of loans granted decreased by 25,260 (18.9%) from 133,362 in 2016 to 108,102 in 2017. However, the value of loans granted increased by \$73,813,490 (8.3%) from \$893,085,836 in 2016 to \$966,949,326 in 2017. This led to the average aggregate loan grant increase on average by \$2,248 (33.6%). This large increase in outstanding loan average amounts represents a significant increase in monthly payments \$99.63 per loan based on the average increase.¹ CU's had their loan losses increase by \$1.99m (14.1%) and the provision for next year's loan losses increased by \$1.8m (14.7%). The increase in loans' losses can be attributed to Hurricane Harvey.


The increase in new car loans is another example of hurricane Harvey's negative impact on South East Texas. Here authors show how much it costs Harvey victims to replace destroyed vehicles. For the overall credit union group, the average car loan increased to \$25,883 in 2017 from \$24,607 in 2016. Only the new car loans in 2017 increased by 2,208. The average loan was \$33,464 up by \$8,857 (36%) over the previous outstanding balance average. This increase translated into an additional \$163.46 in payments each month.² The average payment was estimated to be \$449.52 based on the previous year's averages, but now the new loan average payment is estimated to be \$612.98. The 15,329 new car loans held by the CU group represent only a small portion of car loans in Southeast Texas. Car title applications each year is slightly below 50,000 with an average of 3,100 made each by the CU group each year on average. As indicated in Chart 1 the title applications increased by 8,444 (72.2%) in the 4th quarter from 11,703 in 2016 to 20,147 in 2017. The CU group had 2,208 more new car loans in 2017 for \$73,863,490. CU group held \$396,757,252 in new car loans. Residents of the Golden Triangle that had to replace paid off or partially paid off vehicles with a new vehicle that has higher per month payments and higher insurance cost. The CU group had 122 less used car loans but had an increase of \$24,775,016 in used car loan values outstanding. The outstanding average loan for used cars increased from an average of \$14,603 in 2016 to \$15,437 in 2017. The average used

¹ Based on 24 months at 6% APR

² Based on 60 months at 3.7% APR

car payment rose from \$329.98 to \$349.24.³ This is an increase of \$19.26 per month per loan. The CU group held 31,856 used car loans for a book value of \$491,744,307.

Chart 1. Car title applications



As indicated in Table 2 (Appendix) the number of approved registrations for individual assistance in the Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas for FEMA was 54,578. The total amount in survivor's pocket in three counties of Southeast Texas was \$1.77 billion as estimated by FEMA. FEMA issued 11,290 applications for business loans in the three counties of Southeast Texas. The number of applications received was 1,461. The total of applications approved was 470. These approvals were 4.2% of applications issued and 32.2% of applications received. The average business loan approved was \$131,072. A business would on average have to pay an extra \$1036.52.⁴

Post-Harvey Real Estate Analysis in Flooded Area of South East Texas

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) paid \$752.5 million in the Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas for the 11,968 flood claims. It was estimated that in Beaumont alone there were over 20,000 flooded homes. Housing and other disaster-related expenses for the three research counties of Southeast Texas were \$331 million.

As indicated in Table 3 (Appendix) FEMA also issued 57,826 applications for home loans. The number of applications received was 15,927. Applications approved were 7257 for \$625 million. These approvals were 12.5% of applications issued and 27.5% of received applications. The average home loan approved by FEMA was \$86,138. The extra payment on their home to service this new mortgage on their home would be \$364.56 a month in addition to


³ Based on 48 months at 4.1% APR

⁴ Based on 180 months at 5% APR

any previous 1st or 2nd mortgage already on their residence.⁵ These numbers show that a lot of people got applications for home and other loans but for various reasons did not apply or get approved. Using another small sample of real estate loans from the CU group, the number of real estate loans increased by 227 (\$40,987,121). The overall average new loan can be estimated at \$180,560. This means the potential payment for principal and interest ignoring escrow cost would be \$1,427.86 per month.⁶ Aggregate the whole real estate loan together, the authors can estimate at least a \$42.82 increase in payments on average. The one fact that shows clearly from the data is for this small sampling of real estate loans, many residents are paying more per month on average.

Sales tax collected increased by \$1,599,964 (24%) in the 4th quarter in 2017 as compared to the 4th quarter of 2016 (Chart 2). This increase represents an unplanned additional expenditure of \$319,992,800. This increase was due to residents having to replace items lost due to Hurricane Harvey. Research also observed a significant increase in sales in a local hardware store in Southeast Texas. In the 4th quarter of 2017, sales increased by 66.7% in their Beaumont store, 173.2% in their Lumberton store and 124.1% in the Vidor store (Table 6).

Chart 2. Collected sales tax in Jefferson county, 4th quarter of 2016 vs. 2017



Examining a small sample of flooded homes in Beaumont, the appraised value for 2017 before Harvey had an average of \$105,628 per home. The average for this sample after Harvey is \$36,190. This difference is \$69,438 (65.7%) less for the home value after Harvey. The average price that homes sold after Harvey was \$73,749. This difference is \$31,879 (30.2%) less than their 2017 pre-Harvey appraised values by the appraisal district. These losses represent a huge decrease in homeowners' equity. A regression model with a dummy variable for pre versus post-Harvey flooded homes shows that the sales price on average was \$33,664 less after Harvey as compared to before Harvey (Table 4 & 5).

⁵ Based on 360 months at 3.03% APR

⁶ Based on 360 months at 5% APR

CONCLUSION

In summary, residents of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas obligated to use more of their disposable income each month to recover and maintain the pre-Harvey standard of living. The victims of Hurricane Harvey paid on average \$164 more each month for their new car loan, \$20 more each month for their used car loan, \$100 more a month for the general outstanding loan, \$365 more a month for the FEMA home loan, \$1,037 for SBA business loan, \$1,428 per month for CU real estate loan, plus many other hidden cost associated with Hurricane Harvey. The real estate analysis showed a significant drop in value for flooded houses with few having flood insurance.

Households of Southeast Texas should always be prepared for the negative financial impact on their disposable income and the physical damage of real estate they own. Otherwise, they can face long-term damages and financial consequences. These are some recommendations that can help to minimize the risks related to hurricanes.

- National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and private property insurance are important risk management tools to minimize the damage from natural disasters. Using these risk management tools will help to avoid big financial losses.
- Households should set aside emergency cash to withstand the financial shock created by the hurricane. It is recommended to maintain a \$2500-\$3000 cash buffer to overcome the difference in costs and income.
- In the case of hurricanes government, businesses and nonprofit organizations should continue their best practices in providing resources to disaster relief, medical assistance, food, shelter, and reconstruction. Without this support, recovery will be much difficult, and it is desirable that all supporters coordinate their efforts in a way to maximize the benefits of their resource allocation.

REFERENCES

Aon Benfield. (2018). *Hurricane Harvey event recap report*.

Greig, F., Wheat, C., and Fulton, B. (2018). The economic impact of hurricanes, Retrieved from <https://houstonchronicle.com/>

Hegar, G. (2018). *A storm to remember: hurricane Harvey and the Texas economy*: Texas comptroller of public accounts.

Holmes, F. (2017). We looked into the effects of hurricane Harvey and here's what we found, Retrieved from <https://forbes.com/>

FEMA. (2018) *Hurricane Harvey: county update*.

FEMA. (2019) *Texas Recovery Hurricane Harvey: partnership and progress*.

M&D Supply. (2018) *Financial report*.

M&D Supply. (2017) *Financial report*.

Ramchand, L., and Krishnamoorti, R. (2017). What Harvey taught us: lessons from the energy industry, *University of Houston energy fellows*.

Torry, H., and Chaney, S. (2018). Big storms leave small marks on the U.S. economy, Retrieved from <https://wsj.com/>

<https://mls.com/> Multiple Listing Service

<https://jeffcotax.com/> Jefferson County Tax Assessor's Office

<http://www.jcad.org/> Jefferson Central Appraisal District

<https://www.ncua.gov/> National Credit Union Administration

APPENDIX

Table 1. Loan data obtained from reports of 10 local Credit Unions of Southeast Texas

| | Dec-17 | Dec-16 | Difference |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Cash on hand | \$ 38,871,873 | \$ 35,808,306 | \$ 3,063,567 |
| Total investments | \$ 550,445,891 | \$ 393,505,210 | \$ 156,940,681 |
| Loan losses | \$ 16,079,737 | \$ 14,087,282 | \$ 1,992,455 |
| Provision loans losses | \$ 14,169,249 | \$ 12,348,356 | \$ 1,820,893 |
| Number of credit card loans | 47,493 | 46,393 | 1,100 |
| Credit card loans, dollar amount | \$ 107,969,440 | \$ 107,650,970 | \$ 318,470 |
| Number of new car loans | 15,329 | 13,121 | 2,208 |
| New car loans, dollar amount | \$ 396,757,252 | \$ 322,867,957 | \$ 73,889,295 |
| Number of used car loans | 31,856 | 31,978 | -122 |
| Used car loans, dollar amount | \$ 491,744,307 | \$ 466,969,291 | \$ 24,775,016 |
| Number of total loans | 161,593 | 160,826 | 767 |
| Total loans, dollar amount | \$ 2,025,708,623 | \$ 1,893,314,965 | \$ 132,393,658 |
| Number of loans granted | 108,102 | 133,362 | -25,260 |
| Loans granted, dollar amount | \$ 966,949,326 | \$ 893,085,836 | \$ 73,863,490 |
| Credit card loss | \$ 2,769,378 | \$ 2,468,384 | \$ 300,994 |
| New car credit loss | \$ 1,759,287 | \$ 856,539 | \$ 902,748 |
| Used car credit loss | \$ 3,566,960 | \$ 2,297,824 | \$ 1,269,136 |

Table 2. Business loans data obtained from SBA and FEMA

| | Jefferson | Hardin | Orange | Southeast Texas (3 counties) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| SBA loans | | | | |
| Number of SBA loans | 3,787 | 950 | 3,050 | 7,787 |
| Total SBA loans, \$ Amt | \$ 294.5 M | \$ 110.3 M | \$ 279.9 M | \$ 687.4 M |
| FEMA business loans | | | | |
| Applications issued | 6918 | 1190 | 3182 | 11290 |
| Applications received | 896 | 132 | 433 | 1461 |
| Applications approved | 263 | 51 | 156 | 470 |
| Dollars approved | \$ 32.8 M | \$ 8.1 M | \$ 20.7 M | \$ 61.5 M |
| Average business loan | \$ 124,661.22 | \$ 158,588.24 | \$ 132,884.62 | \$ 131,072.13 |
| Average business loan payment | \$ 985.82 | \$ 977.55 | \$ 1,050.85 | \$ 1,036.52 |

Table 3. NFIP claims and FEMA home loan data analysis

| | Jefferson | Hardin | Orange | Southeast Texas (3 counties) |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Number of approved registrations for individual assistance | 32,237 | 4,735 | 17,606 | 54,578 |
| Number of NFIP claims | 6941 | 798 | 4229 | 11968 |
| Amount paid on NFIP claims | \$ 391.99 M | \$ 90.3 M | \$ 270.2 M | \$ 752.5 M |
| Housing and other disaster related expenses | \$ 173.3 M | \$ 35.1 M | \$ 122.4 M | \$ 330.8 M |
| Total amount survivors pocket | \$ 859.8 M | \$ 235.7 M | \$ 672.6 M | \$ 1.77 B |
| FEMA home loans | | | | |
| Applications issued | 34220 | 5707 | 17899 | 57826 |
| Applications received | 8466 | 1698 | 5763 | 15927 |
| Applications approved | 3486 | 893 | 2878 | 7257 |
| Dollars approved | \$ 259.3 M | \$ 110.4 M | \$ 255.4 M | \$ 625.1 M |
| Average home loan | \$ 74,382.21 | \$ 123,615.45 | \$ 88,749.27 | \$ 86,138.27 |
| Average home loan payment | \$ 314.81 | \$ 523.17 | \$ 375.61 | \$ 364.56 |

Table 4. Real estate appraisal value and sales price analysis of the small sample of flooded houses

| | Appraised value 2017 | Appraised value 2018 | Post harvey sales price | Difference in appraise values and sales prices | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | | | 2017 vs Sales Price | 2018 vs 2017 |
| Mean | 105,627.71 | 36,190.21 | 73,749.35 | 69,437.5 | 31,878.35 |
| Standard error | 8,163.02 | 2,951.48 | 6,938.79 | 5,383.00 | 6,524.96 |
| Median | 88,135 | 33,980 | 61,950 | 58,315 | 28,615 |
| Mode | #N/A | #N/A | 25,000 | | |
| Standard deviation | 56,555.04 | 20,448.46 | 48,073.34 | 37,294.50 | 45,206.27 |
| Sample variance | 3198473001 | 418139360 | 2311046123 | 1.391E+09 | 2.04E+09 |
| Kurtosis | 8.057 | 8.256 | 2.814 | 6.775 | -0.600 |
| Skewness | 2.226 | 2.181 | 1.406 | 2.053 | 0.078 |
| Range | 326,330 | 117,560 | 248,705 | 208,770 | 181,380 |
| Minimum | 36,960 | 12,550 | 1,295 | 24,410 | -54,990 |
| Maximum | 363,290 | 130,110 | 250,000 | 233,180 | 126,390 |
| Sum | 5,070,130 | 1,737,130 | 3,539,969 | 3,333,000 | 1,530,161 |
| Count | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |

Table 5. Regression on a sample of flooded houses

| Regression statistics | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Multiple R | 0.7530 |
| R square | 0.5671 |
| Adjusted R square | 0.5461 |
| Standard error | 40193.47 |
| Observations | 66 |

| ANOVA | df | SS | MS | F |
|------------|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Regression | 3 | 1.31203E+11 | 43734381574 | 27.07148384 |
| Residual | 62 | 1.00162E+11 | 1615514754 | |
| Total | 65 | 2.31365E+11 | | |

| | Coefficients | Standard error | t stat | P-value |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Intercept | 19873.32 | 13890.13302 | 1.430751183 | 0.157522569 |
| Acres | 15054.24 | 6977.144721 | 2.157651035 | 0.034837497 |
| SQFT | 42.83 | 7.227622762 | 5.926038859 | 1.48411E-07 |
| Dummy (pre vs post) | -33663.94 | 9894.947454 | -3.402134226 | 0.001175682 |

Table 6. Summary of sales and customer increase in the local hardware store

| | Increase in Sales | Increase in Sales | Increase in customers |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Hardware store in Beaumont | | | |
| 2nd Q 2018 | \$ 1,012,215.00 | 29.48% | 9317 |
| 1st Q 2018 | \$ 1,026,915.00 | 32.32% | 16667 |
| 2017 | \$ 2,932,672.00 | 24.02% | 18576 |
| 4th Q 2017 | \$ 1,618,830.00 | 66.72% | 14782 |
| Hardware Store in Lumberton | | | |
| 2nd Q 2018 | \$ 170,574.00 | 23.18% | 4042 |
| 1st Q 2018 | \$ 187,314.00 | 27.18% | 4019 |
| 2017 | \$ 715,926.00 | 27.36% | 9386 |
| 4th Q 2017 | \$ 615,112.00 | 173.20% | 3416 |
| Hardware Store in Vidor | | | |
| 2nd Q 2018 | \$ 307,105.00 | 43.26% | 10474 |
| 1st Q 2018 | \$ 614,533.00 | 94.94% | 21048 |
| 2017 | \$ 1,121,458.00 | 42.86% | 28710 |
| 4th Q 2017 | \$ 791,704.00 | 124.14% | 21829 |