

Firearm Regulations in U.S. States

Damon E. Martin
National University

ABSTRACT

Firearm regulations encompasses the broader landscape of public safety, making it a crucial aspect of societal governance which in used to devise strategies to further a state's cause. Also given the gravity of the growing concern over firearm inflicted violence, it is of utmost importance to understand the efficacy of these policies. There is a noteworthy gap in this field due to a lack of comprehensive analysis integrating legal framework, real world occurrences, and societal consequences. This paper explores the intricate firearm safety regulations in four States: California, Michigan, Florida, and New York that plays a crucial role to balance the rights of individuals and society. Further, it examines the diverse statutory architectures, unconventional legal contexts, concrete experiences, and how they shape several aspects of people's lives to evaluate the pragmatic outcomes of firearm safety policies. The practical approach used to evaluate the effectiveness of firearm safety regulation hinges more on enforcement, versatility, and an all- encompassing strategy than on enactment or its mere existence. A holistic understanding of the multifaceted relationship between firearms safety legislation and real-life tragedies will enhance public safety and aid law enforcement agencies to mitigate firearm related tragedies.

INTRODUCTION

In the United States of America, firearm violence is a major public health concern. In 2018, there were 39,740 firearm related deaths consisting of suicides, homicides, and unintentional shootings. Despite these outcomes, the second amendment of the United States constitution extensively preserves and facilitates the liberty to own firearms, and the current judicial perception allows substantial protection for these rights. As a result, only a handful federal gun control measures have been enacted, thereby transferring responsibility to prevent violence involving guns to local and state authorities. California, Michigan, Florida, and New York have enacted contrasting gun safety regulations that has various impacts on public safety, crime rates, economic implications, and social well-being. In this extensive analysis, we will investigate a wide range of elements corresponding to the state's gun safety regulations while simultaneously studying the numerous effects it has on people's lives and society.

EVOLUTION OF FIREARM SAFETY REGULATION IN CALIFORNIA

California's Firearm safety rules date back to the early twentieth century, specifically 1917. This is when the state passed its first gun control law, prohibiting the hidden possession of firearms. Over the years various procedures have been implemented to address specific issues to facilitate gun safety. For instance, in 1989, a mass shooting at a Stockton Elementary School¹ transpired the fatalities of five children and the injuries of twenty-nine others. This occurrence prompted policymakers to take more proactive steps to control firearms. As a result, California enacted the Roberti-Roos Assault Weapons Control Act² in 1989, which was one of the first state laws in the country to prohibit some semi-automatic rifles. The United States experienced a string of high-profile shootings in the 1990s, including the 1999 Columbine High School³ atrocity. This event prompted California to reinforce its gun safety laws even further as in 1999, the state instituted a 10-day waiting period for gun purchases and expanded background checks to cover transfers between private parties.

California has continued to establish a variety of gun safety regulations over the years, including prohibitions on large-capacity magazines, mandates regarding weapon safety certificates, measures to enhance background checks, and obligatory reporting standards for lost firearms. All these were captured in Proposition 63⁴ which was passed by Californian voters in 2016. Tragic incidents, public sentiment, and the political atmosphere around gun control in the United States have all influenced the growth of California's gun safety regulations. It has taken a long time to find a happy medium between maintaining public safety and honouring the rights of responsible gun owners.

California's Regulatory Framework

California places a significant emphasis on gun safety education and training, particularly for first-time handgun purchasers. It encourages people to enroll in safety classes and engage in initiatives that promote responsible weapon ownership. This education imparts gun owners with knowledge and attributes required to responsibly operate their weapons, thus minimizing the likelihood of unintentional shootings and erroneous firearm storage. The State also has more stringent approach to granting concealed weaponry permits. Local law

¹ Gustavo Arellano, *A deranged white man aiming his bullets at Asians*. Los Angeles Times (2021).

² California Department of Justice Firearms Division. *Kasler v. Lockyer, Cal.* (2000).

³ Marc Santora, *Student Opens Fire at a High School Near Albany: Hitting a Teacher*. New York Times (1999).

⁴ *Firearms and Ammunition Sales*. County of Los Angeles (2023).

enforcement authorities grant permits only when individuals meet strict standards as the previous amendment establishing a "good cause" for possessing a concealed firearm was overturned in *Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen*⁵. This annulled confined issuance of concealed carry permits has the potential to lower incidences of armed individuals in public settings, possibly reducing the danger of shootings and other escalating disputes. Further, California law stipulates that firearms must be stored in a safe manner to prevent unauthorized access⁶, particularly if the proprietor resides with individuals prohibited from possessing firearms, such as minors or those with certain convictions. Safe storage rules could mitigate the danger of weapon accidents and unlawful access, protecting households and perhaps preventing fatal instances involving toddlers and other vulnerable adults.

In some hunting areas, California has imposed restrictions on the varieties and capacities of firearms and magazines. Also, the state of California limits most firearms' magazine capacities to ten cartridges in an effort to reduce the frequency of mass shootings. These limits may negatively influence hunting experiences and may also restrict recreational shooting possibilities, thereby impacting outdoor enthusiasts and companies that cater to them. California requires all handguns, including those acquired through private sales, to be registered. The state's rigorous background checks are designed to deter individuals with criminal records or a history of mental illness from purchasing firearms. Thorough background checks may lessen the chance of firearms getting into faulty hands, thus improving public safety. Also, imposition of background checks at firearm exhibitions, keeps firearms out of the grasps of prohibited individuals, safeguarding the public and promoting security. The state also designates gun-free zones⁷, such as educational institutions and government facilities, in which concealed carry permit holders are prohibited from carrying firearms. The construction of weapon-free areas in California attempts to improve safety in vulnerable areas by limiting the possibility of armed confrontations and unintentional discharges.

Implications and Ramifications

While California's comprehensive gun safety legislation were designed to improve public safety, they have encountered substantial roadblocks that call their overall effectiveness into question. The Isla Vista massacre (2014)⁸ serves as a striking example where a college student in Isla Vista pulled off a heinous crime close the University of California, Santa Barbara, fatally shooting six people and injuring fourteen before dying himself. The gunman was able to legally acquire armaments despite having a history of mental health troubles. This incident highlighted concerns regarding the viability of California's gun safety rules to deter individuals from obtaining firearms who suffer from psychological disorders. Similarly, the Long Beach Halloween Soiree (2019)⁹ massacre showed a key flaw in California's gun-control framework: the development of "ghost guns." The perpetrator dodged standard weapon sales norms by assembling untraceable munitions from kits, consequently avoiding state legislations that generally pertain to serialized firearms. This incident highlighted the importance of addressing the rising threat posed by handmade guns, which may be easily constructed by anyone with malicious intent and subsequently used for committing violent crimes.

Another devastating example was the Santa Clarita High School shooting in 2019¹⁰. The assailant, who was a student used a "ghost gun" in order to carry out the attack, emphasizing the inadequacy of current rules in deterring such weapons from slipping into the hands of wrongful individuals. This episode, in particular, reiterated the necessity for California to adjust its restrictions in order to stay ahead of new firearm technologies, preserving its laws to remain viable for inhibiting catastrophes within the realm of educational institutions. Even instances of out-of-state arsenal smuggling, such as the 2019 Gilroy Garlic Festival illustrate the enforcement

⁵ *Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen*. N.Y. (2022).

⁶ *State Laws and Published Ordinances – California*. ATF (2020).

⁷ *Gun Laws in California*. Gifford Law Center (2023).

⁸ Joseph Serna, *Elliot Rodger meticulously planned Isla Vista rampage*. Los Angeles Times (2016).

⁹ Elian Peltier & Karen Zraick, *Shooting at Long Beach -Calif. Halloween Party Kills*. New York Times (2019).

¹⁰ Nicholas Bogel, *Santa Clarita Shooting Is Another Nightmare Made Real*. New York Times (2019).

issues that California's gun safety regulations face. Because of disparities in firearms safety legislations, the gunman had the opportunity to acquire a firearm in Nevada, heightening concerns about the possibility of arsenal smuggling. This incident highlights the complex terrain of armament transactions and the urgent requirement for a robust structure which may successfully discourage, discover, and prosecute illegal firearm possession.

The aforementioned incidents demonstrate that, while California has made significant gains toward improving gun safety, its regulations have not been wholly effective in averting a variety of firearm-related events. The state must reassess existing regulations critically, taking into account their adaptability to new threats, the effectiveness of background checks and psychometric exams, unreported domestic violence, and the full surveillance of ammunition transactions. This is not only evident from the above examples but also from the 2023, Southern California Bar shooting where a veteran with no reported history of domestic violence shot his soon to be ex-wife before open firing at random, killing 3 and fatally injuring 6 others. Thus, only an exhaustive and ever-evolving approach will adequately handle the various issues posed by weapons and assure the security and wellness of its citizens.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Michigan's Regulatory Framework

Michigan is a "shall-issue"¹¹ jurisdiction for carrying concealed permits, which means that if a person meets the qualifying requirements, local authorities must grant the permit. Due to this provision a higher number of people legally carry concealed guns, whilst this equips law-abiding persons to safeguard their interest, it also promotes the frequency of firearms in public places, thereby escalating small incidents to a mass casualty event. Although background screening and safety awareness training are part of the procedure that help relieve some tension. Though firearms safety education is accessible in Michigan, it is not required for weapon buyers, resulting in a wide spectrum of knowledge gap and resilience among firearm owners. Also, in the absence of these obligatory gun safety instruction, there may be more accidental shootings and hazardous weapon conduct, exposing gun owners as well as the community to potential and hazardous threats. Though there are a few limitations on bearing firearms in particular areas, Michigan typically allows people to carry concealed in areas that have not been designated as weapons-free zones by the federal government. This leeway may result in an increased number of firearms in public places, thus sparking anxiety and animosity in specific scenarios. Although certain communities do enact their own standards, Michigan lacks comprehensive statewide legislation enforcing secure storage of weapons. The lack of standardized safe storage legislation may lead to an increase in weaponry tragedies, especially ones involving minors who can access unsecured arsenals with ease.

Michigan has a more relaxed policy concerning firearms hunting, enabling a wider choice of guns and magazine capacity. The policy lets individuals with capacity to hunt with a firearm within 450 feet of an occupied building, dwelling, house, residence or cabin, or any barn or other building used in connection with a farm operation, without obtaining the written permission of the owner, renter or occupant of the property¹². These less stringent hunting restrictions could entice more recreational enthusiasts and help to stimulate tourism and to sustain the rural economy. Michigan also has no cartridge capacity limits, letting residents to own and exploit magazines with large capacities. High-capacity magazines may be convenient for gun owners, but they also enhance the likelihood of fatality in a shooting massacre, rendering anyone caught up in such scenarios to react adequately to guide themselves to safety. Additionally, the State has no regulations for handgun or long gun registration,

¹¹ *Firearms (Excerpt)* -Section 28.425F. Michigan Legislature (1927).

¹² *Firearms and Bows*. Department of Natural Resources (2023).

and background checks are not obligatory for private sales. The lack of firearm registration may impede law enforcement's capacity to track down armaments used in illicit activities, whereas the absence of mandated background checks for private purchases may result in possible risks, such as firearms entering into the hands of untoward individuals. Currently it also lacks explicit legislation regulating background checks for the purchase of handguns at gun expositions, which could permit for greater access to firearms. The lack of criminal record checks at weaponry exhibitions may also enable unlawful individuals to easily exploit this loophole and make it simpler for them to obtain firearms that could cause mass destruction, signalling the county's frailty to promote wellbeing in the community.

Implications and Ramifications

Although Michigan's policy regarding gun control reflects an entirely distinct regulatory context than California's, it has been plagued by notable flaws which questions its overall efficacy. The shooter's absence of a criminal record or a track record of psychological disorders, both of which serves normally as cautionary signs during background checks, indicates a serious deficiency in Michigan's capacity to recognize individuals who may pose a risk despite having no documented history. In addition, the Lansing Mall shooting (2019)¹³ illustrated the possible dangers of concealed carry ordinances and paved way for a fatality which occurred during a mall altercation. Regardless of the fact that the alleged gunman had a concealed weapon permit, this incident demonstrates the inherent risks associated with carrying weapons in public places. It calls into question Michigan's concealed carry mandates and how well they function in preventing conflicts in congested areas.

The Michigan State Capitol incident in 2020¹⁴ highlighted the complexity of concealed-carry regulation and their ramifications for public safety. Armed protestors assembled at the State Capitol to express their objections to COVID-19 limits, accentuating the possibility of highly armed individuals congregating in critical areas. Although the incident did not escalate into violence, it underlined the difficulties that law enforcement faces in ensuring the stability of such locations and avoiding potentially dangerous events. Furthermore, the Oxford High School (2021)¹⁵ massacre rendered concerns associated with adolescents' access to firearms. The attack, which resulted in four fatalities and left seven others with certain mishaps, was coordinated by a student. This incident highlights possible flaws in Michigan's norms to avert minors from gaining access to armaments. It emphasizes the necessity for framing comprehensive measures to combat the complex issue of firearm-related occurrences affecting minors.

These incidents highlight an array of flaws in Michigan's protective firearms legislation. The state ought to tackle hurdles concerning individuals who don't necessarily have an outwardly documented history of anxiety but nonetheless pose a threat, thus assuring general safety in the context of concealed carry, as well as inhibiting minors from obtaining firearms. To solve these flaws and truly bolster public safety, Michigan's regulatory structure requires a thorough re-evaluation.

Florida's Regulatory Framework

Florida places a strong emphasis on gun safety education, however, does not require safety training for all buyers of firearms, only for those seeking to carry concealed weapons. Hence, the lack of obligatory instructions for all prospective buyers could result in varying extents of preparedness among these proprietors. As Florida is a "shall-issue" State,¹⁶ concealed carry permits are issued to individuals who adheres to the given standards rather than asking the applicants for some justification. Although this law enables one's to exercise their Second Amendment Rights to secure more freedom, they also escalate more armed encounters as fatal weaponries

¹³ Jessica Xing, *Michigan shopping center shooting*. CNN (2023).

¹⁴ Kathleen Gray, *In Michigan, a Dress Rehearsal for the Chaos at the Capitol on Wednesday*. New York Times (2021).

¹⁵ Anna Clark, *Could a Michigan School Shooting Have Been Prevented*. ProPublica (2023).

¹⁶ *Concealed Carry Reciprocity Map and Gun Laws*. USSCA (2023)

are progressively available in public areas. The State has little to no restrictions on magazine capacity which makes the State more expedient for gun enthusiasts', making their lives less daunting whilst simultaneously increasing the likelihood of more lethal mass shooting. As background checks and registration are not necessary for private purchases of firearms, the ability of law enforcement to track down and stop illicit access to firearms may be hampered.

Florida's Red Flag Law enables to temporarily confiscate firearms through court orders from people who are regarded to be a risk to their own safety or that of others. This framework of initial involvement reduces danger of firearm-related occurrences involving people who have mental health concerns or violent inclinations. In Florida, there is another statute known as "stand your ground"¹⁷ which allows people to defend themselves by using deadly force without first fleeing, even if they are outside of their place of residence. This law may be interpreted differently depending on one's constructive reasoning and encourage people to freely use lethal force, thus raising the possibility of fatal encounters. Although Florida designates places where firearms are prohibited, it also entitles those with concealed carry permits to bear arms in some of these specified locales, particularly privately owned establishments. The dispute over the balance between personal freedom and public safety may be stoked by facilitating people to carry concealed in some gun-free zones, negatively impacting public's apprehension of these places. Florida's relatively lax gun restrictions might entice people from states nearby with tougher rules to smuggle weapons. This possibility may further compromise public safety by raising concerns about illegal influx of weapons which are capable of inflicting tragedies in masses among the people of Florida.

Implications and Ramifications

Due to the alleged deficiencies in properly securing the well-being of its citizens, Florida's gun safety landscape, captured by its loose rules and permissive position on firearms, has caused major discussion. A closer look at the terrible incidents that have taken place has exposed potential flaws in the state's firearms rules. For instance, the 2016 attack at Pulse Nightclub¹⁸ serves as an alarming reminder of the drawbacks of Florida's firearm safety strategy. This sad episode resulted in the bloodiest mass shooting in contemporary American history, as the shooter took advantage of the State's "shall-issue" concealed carry law to wield a semiautomatic rifle and a handgun. This demonstrates how people with malevolent intents can cause havoc on a large scale specifically due to a firearm acquisition procedure that has little to no limitations. Another aspect of Florida's issues with gun safety was made clear by the Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School shooting in 2018¹⁹ where the assailant used an AR-15-style firearm to kill 17 youths and staff members. This mishap spurred riots around the country and renewed debates over the state's lax laws, notably restrictions on semiautomatic guns and cartridge capacity. Further, the 2018 Jacksonville Landing shooting revealed potential flaws questioning the state's capacity to recognize and restrict the ability to possess firearms for people with questionable backgrounds. Here, the assailant had lawfully purchased the weaponry which emphasized the call for a more rigorous background check and effective vetting procedure.

A new facet was brought to light when the perpetrator of Tampa Serial Killer (2017) who despite being a convicted felon and being federally forbidden to bear a firearm used state-level quirks to his advantage to get a gun. This illustrated the obvious inconsistency between federal and state regulations and how Florida's strategy to protect individual rights could inadvertently make it easier for violent people to obtain firearms. Additionally, the Fort Lauderdale Airport (2017)²⁰ shooting illustrated the complications of armament transportation, demonstrating the danger weapons carried in checked luggage creates in sensitive areas such as airports. It sparked debate over

¹⁷ *Self Defense and Stand your Ground*. NCSL (2023)

¹⁸ Michael Ray, *History and Society: Orlando Shooting*. Britannica (2023)

¹⁹ *This Day In History: Teen Gunman*. History (2020)

²⁰ Mark Berman, *Gunman at Fort Lauderdale Airport*. Washington Post (2018).

the effectiveness of Florida's guns transportation legislation as there was an immense possibility for such flaws to be exploited by prospective aggressors or smugglers.

These heartbreaking incidents underline the challenges associated to strike an equitable balance between protecting individual rights and safeguarding the welfare of the public. Critics assert that, although designed to empower citizens, Florida's gun safety rules with kinks may unwittingly exacerbate both the number and severity of catastrophic situations. Following these tragedies, Florida must reconsider concealed carry regulations, enact more stringent background checks, and reevaluate the reach of specific firearms which have gained prominence because of these horrific events.

New York's Regulatory Framework

The State's "may-issue"²¹ strategy gives enforcement agencies the power to judge whether applicants' stated reasons for concealed carry permits are justified. This helps establish an extensive decision-making process, not only lessening the possibility of unauthorized firearm possession but also promoting safety among the public. Identical to California's strict policies, New York administers extensive background checks and keeps a computerized record of registered firearms, guaranteeing only those with authorization to possess armaments. Moreover, the requirement of a 10-day interim waiting period along restricts premature transactions and enable adequate time to completely vet applicants. This persistent commitment provides protection against erroneous firearm transaction such as smuggling while simultaneously deters lethal firearms to end up in the hands of nefarious individuals. New York's rigorous regulations on arsenal storage coupled with stringent policies to report missing or stolen weapons are prime examples of the state's persistent dedication to combat illicit access to firearms. The proactive adoption of Red Flag Laws gives authorities the right to request court orders to confiscate lethal guns temporarily from people exhibiting signs of unusual behaviour. This shows that New York is consistent with its dedication to mitigate potential threats by enabling agents of law enforcement to step in during exigencies to protects the general population.

In accordance with New York law, there are designated "gun-free zones"²² around schools where it is forbidden to possess a handgun to enhance the overall safety of students and college community. The State also mirrors California to ensure that potential gun owners are knowledgeable in acceptable firearm practices by mandating precautionary instructions for people seeking handgun licenses. When it comes to limiting access to high-capacity weapons, New York embraces California's ideology of damage reduction. The state's restrictions on lethal weapons and cartridge sizes protect individuals from firearm-related catastrophes, especially in densely populated areas. Furthermore, New York's obligatory microstamping helps to embed a unique code to used round casings in semiautomatic handguns manufactured or delivered in the state. This procedure is carried out to facilitate law enforcement agencies to track down guns and culprits who have committed certain crimes.

Implications and Ramifications

We can assess the efficacy of New York's gun safety regulations by examining some tragedies that occurred circumventing its rigorous patchwork of strategies. The Long Island Supermarket²³ massacre demonstrates this by upbringing the difficulties New York face and the need of more robust regulations to curtail gun violence. In 1993, Colin Ferguson wielded a semiautomatic pistol and opened fire on the Railroad Train before killing 6 people and fatally injuring others. The Webster Firefighters Shooting²⁴ is another example where an acquitted felon obtained guns through a straw man, accosted firefighters who were responding to a fire, inflicting fatalities and injuries. The Astoria filmmaker shooting sheds attention on another aspect of New York's gun laws: the availability of

²¹ *Understanding Recent Changes to New York's Gun Laws*. New York State Attorney General (2022).

²² *Understanding Recent Changes to New York's Gun Laws*. New York State Attorney General (2022).

²³ *This Day in History: Shooter Opens Fire on Long Island Railroad Train*. History (2020).

²⁴ Liz Robbins & N.R. Kleinfield, *4 Firefighters Shot, 2 Fatally, in New York; Gunman Dead*. New York Times (2012).

weapons in open spaces as a stray bullet assassinated a promising filmmaker in a populous suburb of Queens. These mishaps casts doubt not only on the State's endeavours to prevent catastrophes in brimming whereabouts but also unveils the notion that motivated individuals can still be able to bypass stringent safety measures particularly screenings and concealed carry permits. Further, it highlights the possible shortcomings and the effectiveness of current gun safety rules in effectively discouraging people with illicit intentions.

Other examples include the 2012 shooting near the iconic Empire State Building, a workplace quarrel escalated and resulted in gunshots, which in the aftermath left a trail of fatalities²⁵. Moreover, the Buffalo's House Party shooting provides a glimpse of the State's capacity to curb the use of firearms in personal spaces. Although the state's gun safety regulations place a strong emphasis on background checks and firearm registration, episodes such as this where the State needs to restrict access to firearms within confined private homes highlight the associated complexities within the system. Particularly the effectiveness of the framed regulations in combating unsafe access to firearms during occasions of heightened emotions and tensions. The Poughkeepsie Bar²⁶ shooting serves as an appalling example to illustrate the disparities in States law from the broader national context which makes it feasible for illegal firearms to enter the State. This smuggling of guns not only caused a death but also made New York aware of how underground firearms networks were neutralizing safety regulations. Lastly, the tragedy at Syracuse University exposes flaws in New York's initiatives to limit firearms-related incidents in educational settings and raises doubts about gun control laws effectiveness in protecting college communities. These together raises questions about how effective policies such as gun free zones, waiting periods, and safety training are in combating impulsive use of weapons.

Although the state's gun safety laws show an unwavering commitment to avert firearms-related catastrophes and foster responsible gun ownership the above incidents highlight potential flaws in the acute deployment of the firearms safety legislation in New York. This necessitate the State to enforce regulations that are comprehensive, strict, adaptive, and intuitive, thereby helping policymakers to navigate the complex terrain of geographic boundaries as well as the subtleties of public and private relationships.

CONCLUSION

Analyzing firearm safety regulations in California, Michigan, Florida, and New York demonstrates the convoluted effort required to strike an effective balance between individual freedoms and societal security. A intricate network of laws shaped by historical, political, and societal circumstances has been designed to reduce gun-related catastrophes. California has rigorous regulations to curb gun violence, nonetheless fatal incidents still persist, demonstrating the complex challenges concerning gun safety statutes. Although Michigan's variable stance aims balance the right to bear arms with social well- being, the recurring incidents questions the effectiveness of the state's policies. Florida's liberal and restrictive elements raises concerns about the effectiveness of lax laws in the wake of notable massacres. New York's extensive and robust regulations do promote public safety, nevertheless fatal catastrophes reveal the shortcomings in combating gun violence in a variety of contexts. Therefore, it is evident that a comprehensive approach which constantly enforces responsible gun ownership, provides safety education and psychotropic support, facilitates adaptive judicial system, and have agile law enforcement would help prevent massive catastrophes.

²⁵ James Barron, *Gunman Dies After Killing at Empire State Building*. New York Times (2012).

²⁶ Bobby Welber, *Easter Murder Outside Charming Hudson Valley*. Hudson valley Post (2022).

Reference

Arellano, Gustavo. "A deranged white man aiming his bullets at Asians." Los Angeles Times, 20 March 2021, <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2021-03-20/stockton-school-shooting-atlanta>.

Barron, James. "Gunman Dies After Killing at Empire State Building." New York Times, 24 August 2012, <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/08/25/nyregion/empire-state-building-shooting.html>.

Berman, Mark. "Gunman at Fort Lauderdale Airport." Washington Post, 17 August 2018, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2018/08/17/gunman-who-killed-fort-lauderdale-airport-sentenced-life-prison/>.

Bogel, Nicholas. "Santa Clarita Shooting Is Another Nightmare Made Real." New York Times 21 May 2019, <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/14/us/school-shooting-santa-clarita.html>.

Clark, Anna "Could a Michigan School Shooting Have Been Prevented." ProPublica 14 May 2023, <https://www.propublica.org/article/oxford-school-shooting-michigan-parents-investigation-guidepost>.

"Concealed Carry Reciprocity Map and Gun Laws." USCCA, 31 March 2023, https://www.usconcealedcarry.com/resources/ccw_reciprocity_map/ca-gun-laws/.

"Firearms and Ammunition Sales." County of Los Angeles, 17 August 2023, <https://tfc.lacounty.gov/2023/08/17/los-angeles-county-tax-collector-introduces-new-mobile-home-resource-links-on-the-website-2/>.

"Firearms and Bows." Department of Natural Resources, 2023, <https://www.michigan.gov/dnr/managing-resources/laws/firearms>.

"Gun Laws in California." Gifford Law Center, 2023, <https://giffords.org/lawcenter/gun-laws/states/california/>.

SC24001

Gray, Kathleen. “In Michigan, a Dress Rehearsal for the Chaos at the Capitol on Wednesday.” New York Times 9 Jan 2021, <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/09/us/politics/michigan-state-capitol.html#:~:text=The%20Jury%20Pool-.In%20Michigan%2C%20a%20Dress%20Rehearsal%20for%20the%20Chaos%20at%20the,at%20the%20Capitol%20in%20Washington.>

“Kasler v. Lockyer [Cal.]” California Department of Justice Firearms Division, 22 August 2000, <https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/firearms/infobuls/200004.pdf>.

Michigan Legislature. Firearms (Excerpt). RSC 1927, Act 372, Section 28.425F, [http://www.legislature.mi.gov/\(S\(biz4yh40vbjv4bzhdlrupjm\)\)/mileg.aspx?page=GetObject&objectname=mcl-28-425f](http://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(biz4yh40vbjv4bzhdlrupjm))/mileg.aspx?page=GetObject&objectname=mcl-28-425f).

Peltier, Elian & Zraick, Karen. “Shooting at Long Beach -Calif. Halloween Party Kills.” New York Times 30 October 2019, <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/10/30/us/long-beach-shooting.html>.

“Understanding Recent Changes to New York’s Gun Laws.” New York State Attorney General, 23 June 2022, <https://ag.ny.gov/new-york-gun-laws>.

“Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen.” N.Y., 3 November 2021, https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/21pdf/20-843_7j80.pdf.

Ray, Michael. “History and Society: Orlando Shooting.” Britannica, 18 August 2023, <https://www.britannica.com/event/Orlando-shooting-of-2016>.

Robbins, Liz & Kleinfield, N.R. “4 Firefighters Shot, 2 Fatally, in New York; Gunman Dead.” New York Times, 24 December 2012, <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/12/25/nyregion/2-firefighters-killed-in-western-new-york.html>.

Santora, Marc. “Student Opens Fire at a High School Near Albany: Hitting a Teacher.” New York Times 2004 February 1999, <https://www.nytimes.com/2004/02/10/nyregion/student-opens-fire-at-a-high-school-near-albany-hitting-a-teacher.html>.
“Self Defense and Stand your Ground.” NCSL 1 March 2023, <https://www.ncsl.org/civil-and-criminal-justice/self-defense-and-stand-your-ground>.

“State Laws and Published Ordinances – California.” ATF, 34 Edition, 2020, <https://www.atf.gov/firearms/state-laws-and-published-ordinances-firearms-35th-edition>.

Serna, Joseph. “Elliot Rodger meticulously planned Isla Vista rampage.” Los Angeles Times 19 February 2016, <https://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-santa-barbara-isla-vista-rampage-investigation-20150219-story.html>.

“This Day in History: Shooter Opens Fire on Long Island Railroad Train.” History, 4 December 2020, <https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/commute-of-terror>.

“This Day In History: Teen Gunman.” History, 12 February 2020, <https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/parkland-marjory-stoneman-douglas-school-shooting>.

Welber, Bobby. “Easter Murder Outside Charming Hudson Valley.” Hudson valley Post, 19 April 2022, <https://hudsonvalleypost.com/easter-murder-outside-charming-hudson-valley-new-york-poughkeepsie-bar/>.

Xing, Jessica. “Michigan shopping center shooting.” CNN, 31 July 2023, <https://www.cnn.com/2023/07/30/us/lansing-shooting-michigan-logan-square/index.html>.