FDA drug approval and its relation to a pharmaceutical company's stock price

Rishma R. Vedd, Ph.D California State University, Northridge

> H. Drew Fountaine, Ed.D Pepperdine University

David Liu, Ph.D. California State University, Northridge

Anne Wu California State University, Northridge

ABSTRACT

Journal

This report identifies the announcement dates of formal approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for pharmaceutical companies' new drugs. These approvals give the green light for the company to mass-produce and market their new drug. FDA approval announcement dates are reviewed and compared to the underlying companies' stock prices to determine if there is any identifiable and measureable correlation between those approval dates and the stock prices during the month of approval. When the approved drugs present material new benefits over existing available alternatives, FDA approval announcements do have impact on companies' market share price.

Keywords FDA, drug approval, pharmaceutical, stock price

INTRODUCTION

The growth and sustainability of the pharmaceutical industry (Big Pharma) can be attributed to a steady stream of new drugs and therapies. The process of bringing a new drug to market is both costly and time consuming. On average, it takes 10-15 years and can cost well over \$1 billion of investment in research and development to bring a new drug to market. Furthermore, the vast majority of drugs fail in the early testing phases and never make it past the preliminary stages and into clinical testing. As a result, significant financial resources must continually be invested into the process of developing new drugs and therapies.

A pharmaceutical company's stock price is, in part, a measure of the drugs it currently markets and sells. Additionally, its value is based on the company's pipeline of potential new drugs winding their way through various stages of internal approval, clinical trials and, ultimately, approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Patents and other intellectual property rights for drugs currently marketed ensure a steady stream of cash flows for investors. Thus, the basis of the stock price for any individual pharmaceutical company can, in part, be measured on its current product portfolio and the intellectual property rights associated with it, along with its pipeline portfolio of potential new drugs.

On occasion, there may be a headline in the Wall Street Journal or any number of marketwatch websites discussing a pharmaceutical company's stock price immediately after the FDA approves a so called "blockbuster" drug, the term for a drug representing significant advancement or breakthrough therapies over those presently available. However, few new drugs are considered blockbusters. Some drugs represent small improvements over those currently available; others are merely an additional entrant with similar characteristics to other drugs already available. This paper examines the effects on pharmaceutical companies' stock price immediately before and after the FDA approves one of its new pipeline drugs. The research will show, over an eleven year study period, the effects of FDA approvals on the stock price of nine of the most prolific new drug marketers within the pharmaceutical industry during that timeframe.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Value of Diffusing Information

Asaf Manela (2013) discussed how the speed by which information is diffused into the marketplace affects stock price, examining and comparing two drugs; Viagra, which is manufactured and marketed by Pfizer, and Allegra, manufactured and marketed by Sanofi. These two publicly traded companies are two of the larger manufacturers in the pharmaceutical industry. Viagra treats erectile dysfunction while Allegra treats nasal allergies. Despite the fact that each drug will provide similar revenues to its manufacturer, the share price of each will be affected differently immediately after formal approval is announced to the public by the FDA. The difference between the two is because the news about Viagra will diffuse faster; it makes for better conversation. Conversely, the news of Allegra's approval will travel slower. Therefore, the slower traveling news enables more noise which diminishes any measurable effect on stock price caused by the FDA announcement.

Company Stock Prices Before and After Public Announcements for Oncology Drugs

Rothenstein, Tomlinson, Tannock, and Detsky (2011) conducted a trend analysis of stock prices for various pharmaceutical companies during the testing cycle of their experimental oncology drugs, examining the effect on each company's stock price both before and after public announcements. It tracked the effect on the stock price specific to whether each announcement was positive or negative. The research concluded there was a consistent and quantifiable effect based on whether announcements were positive or negative during the complete pre-market testing life cycle of the drug and prior to final FDA approval. This supports the conclusion that a pharmaceutical company's stock price is a combination of both its current product portfolio and the strength and test results of its pipeline portfolio.

Real-Options Valuation for a Biotechnology Company

Kellogg and Charnes (2000) presented a valuation model that could be applied to pharmaceutical companies included in the more broadly-based biotechnology industry. The valuation model used average assumptions based on industry standards when drugs were in early or Phase I testing and little was actually known about the future of the potential drug. However, as a potential drug moved into Phase II and into further clinical trial testing, more definitive assumptions could be made during each ensuing stage. As a drug moved into each subsequent stage, the model could make better assumptions regarding its launch time, market size, and probability of success. Because of this, the model could be used to better determine a pharmaceutical company's valuation during each successive stage of a potential drug's testing cycle.

Market Response to FDA Announcements

Sakar and de Jong (2006) studied the effects of announcements at four distinct points during the FDA's review process for a potential new drug. The research showed that investors adjust expectations throughout the approval process based on FDA announcements. Moreover, the researchers concluded that investors reacted confidently to positive signals during the FDA review process, but that negative signals had impact of a much greater magnitude. This is a logical conclusion and result. Positive news from the FDA indicates the potential drug has a more likely chance of eventually gaining final approval and being brought to market. Conversely, negative news more than likely signals a setback to either the time frame to bring the potential drug to market or, moreover, that the potential drug will never gain final FDA approval.

Wealth Effects of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Decisions

Bosch and Lee (1994) studied both the positive effects of FDA approvals of new drugs and the negative effects of FDA disciplinary actions on the stock price of a firm, concluding that FDA decisions have a significant effect on a firm's stock price. The study also considered the effects of information leaks from either the FDA or the firm itself, and found that information prior to an official announcement also has an effect on a firm's stock price. This research used an event-study methodology which attempted to isolate the abnormal effect of the event on the stock price exclusive of other market factors taken as a whole.

Do Product Innovation and News about the R&D Process Produce Large Price Changes

and Overreaction? The Case of Pharmaceutical Stock Prices

Perez-Rodriguez and Valcarcel (2012) discussed how innovation during the research and development process impacts the stock price of a pharmaceutical company. The article discussed the relationship between innovation and other news during the research and development process and found that each can have an effect on a pharmaceutical company's stock price. Interestingly, the research article was from the perspective of the global pharmaceutical market and how the American press can affect an international pharmaceutical company's stock price.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Detailed drug approval data for the eleven-year period January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2014 was obtained from the FDA's website, and organized by month. Thereafter, the data was aggregated for each pharmaceutical company based on year and month of approval as indicated in Table 1 (Appendix). Subsequently, nine of the top U.S. pharmaceutical companies were chosen for analysis based on revenues. Those nine companies were: Johnson and Johnson, Pfizer, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Amgen, Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Gilead, and Lilly.

New drug approvals are classified by the FDA into one of three categories. The first, a Priority Review Drug, is denoted by the letter P. This classification indicates a drug that appears to represent an advance over available therapy. The second classification, a Standard Review Drug, is denoted by the letter S. An S classification indicates a drug that appears to have therapeutic qualities similar to those of available existing drugs. If a new drug is not specifically classified as a P or an S, it has no designated classification. Based upon additional research, it was determined this lack of a designated classification code represents a new drug for which there is no current equivalent. These are considered new "blockbuster" drugs. The legend for drug categories used for analysis is demonstrated in Table 2 (Appendix).

Month-end stock prices were obtained for the aforementioned nine companies for the eleven year study period from the Wharton Research Database. Because approval dates can occur at various times during any month, month-end stock prices following approvals were compared to their previous month-end prices to determine price changes during the month of approval. This ensured capture of the effective change in stock price during that month of approval. Thereafter, charts were created for the periods during which any selected company received FDA approval to identify the existence of any correlation between movements in the company's stock price and FDA approval of its new drug (Charts 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9 in Appendix). The findings were compiled and presented in tables and charts categorized according to the three FDA classifications described above: no classification (blockbuster), Priority (P), and Standard (S). Results within each FDA classification were then further broken down into relative magnitude of stock price effect associated with the FDA approval date as follows: large decrease (>2% decrease), small decrease (<2% decrease), small increase (<2% increase), and large increase (>2% increase). Based on the analysis of stock price change for the selected nine companies, we found a common minimum 2 percent change in the stock price; thus, a 2 percent change, above or below in the stock price was established as a reasonable measure of significant price change. Any instance in which there were two drug approvals for a particular company in the same month was counted as one data point unless they were in separate FDA classifications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As indicated in Table 1 and Chart 1 (Appendix), there was a strong correlation between FDA approval and the stock price of a blockbuster drug. There was a total of 13 such drugs for the combined nine companies. The companies' stock price responded with a small or large increase for 10 of these 13 FDA approval announcements (approximately 77%). For the remaining three, two showed a small decrease while the other showed a large decrease. This correlation supports the contention that investors, and the market in general, react positively to FDA approvals of a blockbuster drug.

As indicated in Table 2 and Chart 2 (Appendix), there was also a positive correlation between FDA approval and a Priority Review Drug. There was a combined total of 31 Priority Review Drug approvals for the combined nine pharmaceutical companies during the eleven year study period. Of those, 19 showed a small or large increase in stock price (approximately 61%), 4 showed a small decrease, and the remaining 8 showed a large decrease. This indicates a reasonably strong correlation between FDA approval and a positive effect on the company's market share price, although a somewhat diminished effect compared to the strong positive correlation exhibited by the blockbuster drugs. This was an expected result. Because the Priority Review Drug classification denotes a drug that appears to represent an advance over available therapy but not an entirely new remedy presently unavailable represented by a blockbuster, it makes sense that the Priority Review Drug classification would show a favorable market response, but not as strong as that of a blockbuster.

As indicated in Table 3 and Chart 3 (Appendix), the Standard Review Drug classification consisted of a combined 50 FDA approvals for the nine pharmaceutical companies during the eleven year study period, and yielded results evenly split between stock price increases and decreases (50% increases, 50% decreases), lacking the correlation found for the other two classifications. This was also an expected result, that the Standard Review Drug approvals would have less effect on stock price than either the blockbusters or Priority Drugs, because this classification simply represents a new drug that appears to have therapeutic qualities similar to those of existing drugs. Consequently, such a drug simply represents an additional choice in the marketplace and investors do not respond strongly to such an approval.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

This research shows a positive correlation between FDA drug approvals and a company's market share price for instances in which the subject drug is either a new remedy or an improvement above existing remedies available in the marketplace. A "blockbuster" classification is viewed by investors as a growth opportunity for the company. As such, the market responds strongly by increasing that company's stock price. There is also a favorable correlation between a Priority Review Drug classification and increases to a company's stock price. However, as expected, a Priority Review Drug approval's impact on stock price is not as strong as that of the blockbuster drug. Lastly, there was no observable correlation between a FDA approval of a Standard Review Drug classification and the companies' stock price. The market exhibited neither a positive or negative stock price reaction to those "lesser drugs". These results support the conclusion that FDA approval does indeed affect a pharmaceutical company's stock price. The differentiating criterion is the classification level of the new drug, and how investors and the market in general perceive the value each respective approval to the recipient company. Drugs representing more significant advancement over existing therapies cause larger

stock price increases than those that do not.



APPENDIX

Table 1

Drug Name and FDA Appl.		NDA Chem.	Review Classifi cation	G	Approval			
#	Iohnson and Johnson	Type *	-11-	Company	Date			
JOHNSON JOHNSON								
MEN'S ROGAINE (NDA				AND				
#021812)	MINOXIDIL	3	S	JOHNSON	1/20/2006			
WOMEN'S ROGAINE (NDA				AND				
#021812)	MINOXIDIL	3	S	JOHNSON	1/20/2006			
	Pfizer			•				
CADUET (NDA #021540)	AMLODIPINE BESYLATE; ATORVASTATIN	4	s	D ₂ EI7ED	1/30/2004			
CHILDREN'S ADVIL	CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE;	4	5	I ZI IZEK	1750/2004			
ALLERGY SINUS (NDA	IBUPROFEN; PSEUDOEPHEDRINE		<i>a</i>	DEVERD	2/2//2001			
#021587)	HYDROCHLORIDE	3	S	PFIZER	2/24/2004			
CARDURA XL (NDA #021269)	DOXAZOSIN MESYLATE	3	S	PFIZER	2/22/2005			
REVATIO (NDA #021845)	SILDENAFIL CITRATE	5	Р	PFIZER	6/3/2005			
ADVII PM (NDA #021393)	DIPHENHYDRAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE; IBUPROFEN	4	S	PFIZER	12/21/2005			
	DIPHENHYDRAMINE CITRATE;		5	TTIZER	12/21/2005			
ADVIL PM (NDA #021394)	IBUPROFEN	4	S	PFIZER	12/21/2005			
EXUBERA (NDA #021868)	INSULIN RECOMBINANT HUMAN	3	S	PFIZER	1/27/2006			
ERAXIS (NDA #021948)	ANIDULAFUNGIN	6	Р	PFIZER	2/17/2006			
GEODON (NDA #021483)	ZIPRASIDONE HYDROCHLORIDE	3	S	PFIZER INC	3/29/2006			
CHANTIX (NDA #021928)	VARENICLINE TARTRATE	1	Р	PFIZER INC	5/10/2006			
TOVIAZ (NDA #022030)	FESOTERODINE FUMARATE	1	S	PFIZER	10/31/2008			
REVATIO (NDA #022473)	SILDENAFIL CITRATE	3	S	PFIZER	11/18/2009			
ADVIL CONGESTION RELIEF	IBUPROFEN; PHENYLEPHRINE		<i>a</i>	DEVERD	5/05/0010			
(NDA #022565) HEPARIN SODIUM (NDA	HYDROCHLORIDE	4	8	PFIZER	5/2//2010			
#201370)	HEPARIN SODIUM	5	S	PFIZER	7/21/2011			
HEPARIN SODIUM								
#201370)	HEPARIN SODIUM	5	S	PFIZER	7/21/2011			
ADVIL ALLERGY AND	CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE;							
CONGESTION RELIEF (NDA #022113)	IBUPROFEN; PHENYLEPHRINE HYDROCHLORIDE	4	s	PFIZER	12/21/2011			
INI VTA (NDA #202324)		1	s	DEIZED	1/27/2012			
		1	5	DEIZED	5/1/2012			
ELELYSO (NDA #022458)	TALIGLUCERASE ALFA	1	5	PFIZER	5/1/2012			
				CONS				
ADVIL (NDA #201803)	IBUPROFEN SODIUM	2	S	HLTHCARE	6/12/2012			
REVATIO (NDA #203109)	SILDENAFIL CITRATE	3	Р	PFIZER	8/30/2012			
DOCETAXEL (NDA #202356)	DOCETAXEL	5	s	PFIZER LABS	3/13/2014			
(Bristal-Myore Souibb							
	Bristor-Myers Squibb			BRISTOL				
			D	MYERS	2/20/2007			
BARACLUDE (NDA #021797)	ENTECAVIK	1	Р	BRISTOL	3/29/2005			
				MYERS				
BARACLUDE (NDA #021798)	ENTECAVIR	3	Р	SQUIBB	3/29/2005			

			Review Classifi		
Drug Name and FDA Appl.	A stive Incondicate	Chem.	cation	Compony	Approval Data
#	Active ingredients	1ype *		BRISTOL	Date
ODENCIA (DI A #125119)				MYERS	12/22/2005
OKENCIA (BLA #123118)	ADATACEPT			BRISTOL	12/25/2005
SPRVCEL (NDA #021086)	DASATINIB	1	D	MYERS	6/28/2006
SI KICEL (NDA #021980)	DASATIND	1	1	BRISTOL	0/20/2000
SPRYCEL (NDA #022072)	DASATINIB	6	р	MYERS SOLUBB	6/28/2006
	DISTINC	0	1	BRISTOL	0/20/2000
IXEMPRA KIT (NDA #022065)	IX ABEPIL ONE	1	Р	MYERS SOUIBB	10/16/2007
		1	1	BRISTOL	10/10/2007
YERVOY (BLA #125377)	IPILIMUMAB			MYERS SOUIBB	3/25/2011
				BRISTOL	012012011
NULOJIX (BLA #125288)	BELATACEPT			MYERS SOUIBB	6/15/2011
				BRISTOL	
ELIQUIS (NDA #202155)	APIXABAN	1	Р	MYERS SOUIBB	12/28/2012
	Journal			BRISTOL	
REYATAZ (NDA #206352)	ATAZANAVIR SULFATE	3	Р	SQUIBB	6/2/2014
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				BRISTOL	
OPDIVO (BLA #125554)	NIVOLUMAB			SQUIBB	12/22/2014
	Amgen				
SENSIPAR (NDA #021688)	CINACALCET HYDROCHLORIDE	1	Р	AMGEN	3/8/2004
VECTIBIX (BLA #125147)	PANITUMUMAB			AMGEN	9/27/2006
NPLATE (BLA #125268)	ROMIPLOSTIM			AMGEN	8/22/2008
PROLIA (BLA #125320)	DENOSUMAB			AMGEN	6/1/2010
XGEVA (BLA #125320)	DENOSUMAB			AMGEN	6/1/2010
BUNCYTO (BLA #125557)	BLINATUMOMAB			AMGEN	12/3/2014
DEINC 110 (DEA #125557)	BLINATOMOMAD		I	AMOLIN	12/3/2014
	Diogen			BIOGEN	
TYSABRI (BLA #125104)	NATALIZUMAB			IDEC BIOGEN	11/23/2004
TECFIDERA (NDA #204063)	DIMETHYL FUMARATE	1	S	IDEC INC	3/27/2013
PLEGRIDY (BLA #125499)	PEGINTERFERON BETA-1A			BIOGEN IDEC INC	8/15/2014
	Celgene	1		ible ite	0/10/2011
VIDAZA (NDA #050794)	AZACITIDINE	1	Р	CELGENE	5/19/2004
REVLIMID (NDA #021880)	LENALIDOMIDE	1	Р	CELGENE	12/27/2005
THALOMID (NDA #021430)	THALIDOMIDE	6	s	CELGENE	5/25/2006
ISTODAY (NDA #022303)	POMIDEDSIN	1	s	CELGENE	11/5/2000
		1	c	CELCENE	2/0/2012
POWIALISI (NDA #204026)		1	5	CELGENE	2/8/2013
OTEZLA (NDA #205437)	APREMILAST	1	S	CORP	3/21/2014
OTEZLA (NDA #206088)	APREMILAST	1	S	CORP	9/23/2014
	Merck				
VIOXX (NDA #021647)	ROFECOXIB	6	S	MERCK	3/26/2004

		NDA	Review Classifi		
Drug Name and FDA Appl.		Chem.	cation		Approval
#	Active Ingredients	Type *	**	Company	Date
				MERCK	
CLARINEX (NDA #021300)	DESLOR ATADINE	3	S	DOHME	9/1/2004
	DESEGRATADINE	5	5	MERCK	5/1/2004
CLARINEX D 24 HOUR (NDA	DESLORATADINE; PSEUDOEPHEDRINE			SHARP	
#021605)	SULFATE	3	S	DOHME	3/3/2005
A SMANEY TWISTHALED				MERCK	
(NDA #021067)	MOMETASONE FUROATE	3	S	DOHME	3/30/2005
FOSAMAX PLUS D (NDA	ALENDRONATE SODIUM;	5	5	DOTINE	010012000
#021762)	CHOLECALCIFEROL	4	S	MERCK	4/7/2005
CLADINEY D 12 HOUD ADA				MERCK	
CLARINEX-D 12 HOUR (NDA #021313)	DESLORATADINE; PSEUDOEPHEDRINE	5	S	DOHME	2/1/2006
1021313)	SOLIME	5	5	DOIMIE	2/1/2000
ZOLINZA (NDA #021991)	VORINOSTAT	1	Р	MERCK	10/6/2006
				MERCK	
JANUVIA (NDA #021995)	SITAGLIPTIN PHOSPHATE	1	S	DOHME	10/16/2006
				MERCK	
CYANOKIT (NDA #022041)	HYDROXOCOBALAMIN	3	Р	SANTE SAS	12/15/2006
	METEODMINUWDDOCUU ODIDE			MERCK	
IANUMET (NDA #022044)	SITAGI IPTIN PHOSPHATE	4	s	DOHME	3/30/2007
	SHAOLII HIVIHOSI HAIL	- T	5	MERCK	575072007
				SHARP	
ISENTRESS (NDA #022145)	RALTEGRAVIR POTASSIUM	1	Р	DOHME	10/12/2007
EMEND (ND & #022022)		2	G	MERCK	1/05/0000
EMEND (NDA #022023)	FOSAPREPITANT DIMEGLUMINE	2	3	AND CO INC	1/25/2008
				SHARP	
TEMODAR (NDA #022277)	TEMOZOLOMIDE	3	S	DOHME	2/27/2009
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MERCK	
	FORMOTEROL FUMARATE; MOMETASONE		C	SHARP	(122/2010
DULERA (NDA #022518)	FUROATE	4	3	MERCK	6/22/2010
				SHARP	
VICTRELIS (NDA #202258)	BOCEPREVIR	1	Р	DOHME	5/13/2011
				MERCK	
HIMEVNC (NDA #202242)	SIMWASTATING SITACI IDTIN DUOSDUATE	1	c	SHARP	10/7/2011
JUVISTINC (INDA #202343)	SIMVASTATIN; SITAOLIPTIN PHOSPHATE	4	3	MFRCK	10/7/2011
				SHARP	
ISENTRESS (NDA #203045)	RALTEGRAVIR POTASSIUM	3	Р	DOHME	12/21/2011
				MERCK	
IANUMET XR (NDA #202270)	METFORMIN HYDROCHLORIDE; SITAGI IPTIN PHOSPHATE	3	s	DOHME	2/2/2012
		5	5	MERCK	2/2/2012
				SHARP	
LIPTRUZET (NDA #200153)	ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM; EZETIMIBE	4	S	DOHME	5/3/2013
				MERCK	
NOXAFIL (NDA #205053)	POSACONAZOLE	3	S	DOHME	11/25/2013
		5	5	MERCK	11/25/2015
				SHARP	
ISENTRESS (NDA #205786)	RALTEGRAVIR POTASSIUM	3	Р	DOHME	12/20/2013
				MERCK	
NOXAFIL (NDA #205596)	POSACONAZOLE	3	Р	DOHME	3/13/2014
		5	-	MERCK	0,10,2014
ASMANEX HFA (NDA				SHARP	
#205641)	MOMETASONE FUROATE	2	S	DOHME	4/25/2014
				MERCK	
ZONTIVITY (NDA #204886)	VORAPAXAR SULFATE	1	S	DOHME	5/8/2014

Drug Name and FDA Appl.	Active Ingredients	NDA Chem. Type *	Review Classifi cation **	Company	Approval
#	Active ingredients	Type ·		MERCK	Date
BELSOMRA (NDA #204569)	SUVOREXANT	1	S	SHARP DOHME	8/13/2014
KEYTRUDA (BLA #125514)	PEMBROI IZUMAB			MERCK SHARP DOHME	9/4/2014
	Gilead		<u> </u>	Domini	7112011
	EMTRICITABINE; TENOFOVIR DISOPROXIL				
TRUVADA (NDA #021752)	FUMARATE	4	Р	GILEAD	8/2/2004
EMTRIVA (NDA #021896)	EMTRICITABINE	3	Р	GILEAD	9/28/2005
RANEXA (NDA #021526)	RANOLAZINE	1	S	GILEAD	1/27/2006
ATRIPLA (NDA #021937)	DISOPROXIL FUMARATE	4	Р	GILEAD	7/12/2006
LETAIRIS (NDA #022081)	AMBRISENTAN	1	Р	GILEAD	6/15/2007
CAYSTON (NDA #050814)	AZTREONAM	3	S	GILEAD	2/22/2010
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	EMTRICITABINE; RILPIVIRINE			GILEAD	
COMPLERA (NDA #202123)	DISOPROXIL FUMARATE	4	Р	INC	8/10/2011
	Journal			GILEAD	
VIREAD (NDA #022577)	TENOF <mark>OVIR DISOPROXIL FUMARATE</mark>	3	Р	INC	1/18/2012
	COBICISTAT; ELVITEGRAVIR; EMTRICITABINE: TENOFOVIR DISOPROXIL			GILEAD	
STRIBILD (NDA #203100)	FUMARATE	1	S	INC	8/27/2012
				GILEAD SCIENCES	
SOVALDI (NDA #204671)	SOFOSBUVIR	1	Р	INC	12/6/2013
			~	GILEAD SCIENCES	
ZYDELIG (NDA #205858)	IDELALISIB	1	S	INC GILEAD	7/23/2014
ZYDELIG (NDA #206545)	IDELALISIB	1	Р	SCIENCES INC	7/23/2014
				GILEAD	
VITEKTA (NDA #203093)	ELVITEGRAVIR	5	S	INC	9/24/2014
				GILEAD	
TYBOST (NDA #203094)	COBICISTAT	5	S	INC	9/24/2014
				GILEAD SCIENCES	
HARVONI (NDA #205834)	LEDIPASVIR; SOFOSBUVIR	1	Р	INC	10/10/2014
	Lilly			ſ	
ALIMTA (NDA #021462)	PEMETREXED DISODIUM	1	Р	LILLY	2/4/2004
ZYPREXA (NDA #021253)	OLANZAPINE	3	S	LILLY	3/29/2004
CYMBALTA (NDA #021427)	DULOXETINE HYDROCHLORIDE	1	S	LILLY	8/3/2004
ALIMTA (NDA #021677)	PEMETREXED	6	S	LILLY	8/19/2004
CYMBALTA (NDA #021733)	DULOXETINE HYDROCHLORIDE	6	Р	LILLY	9/3/2004
EVISTA (NDA #022042)	RALOXIFENE HYDROCHLORIDE	6	S	LILLY	9/13/2007
CYMBALTA (NDA #022148)	DULOXETINE HYDROCHLORIDE	6	S	LILLY	6/13/2008
		-		ELI LILLY	5/00/0000
ADCIRCA (NDA #022332)	IADALAFIL	6	8	ELI LILLY	5/22/2009
EFFIENT (NDA #022307)	PRASUGREL HYDROCHLORIDE	1	Р	AND CO	7/10/2009
#022173)	OLANZAPINE PAMOATE	3	S	CO	12/11/2009

Drug Name and FDA Appl. #	Active Ingredients	NDA Chem. Type *	Review Classifi cation **	Company	Approval Date
CYMBALTA (NDA #022516)	DULOXETINE HYDROCHLORIDE	6	S	LILLY	11/4/2010
AXIRON (NDA #022504)	TESTOSTERONE	3	s	ELI LILLY AND CO	11/23/2010
CYRAMZA (BLA #125477)	RAMUCIRUMAB			ELI LILLY AND CO	4/21/2014
TRULICITY (BLA #125469)	DULAGLUTIDE			ELI LILLY AND CO	9/18/2014



Legend for Graphs					
Symbol	Codes Represented	Description			
		No code was given. Drugs that have no current equivalents(Blockbuster Drugs)			
\diamond	Р	Priority review drugs. Drugs that represent an advance on drugs currently available			
•	S	Standard review drugs. Drugs that appear similar to currently available drugs.			
Δ	P and S	This symbol was used when both a Priority and a Standard drug appeared in the same period.			



Chart 1 series

1.1 Johnson and Johnson's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above



1.2 Pfizer's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above









FDA drug approval, Page 14







FDA drug approval, Page 15



1.3 Bristol-Myers Squibb's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above





FDA drug approval, Page 17



1.4 Amgen's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above











1.5 Biogen's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above

\$0

20120928



20121031 20121130 20121231 20130131 2013028 2013028 20130420 20130531 20130628 20130131 20130630



1.6 Celgene's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above













1.7 Merck's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above





















1.8 Gilead's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above



















1.9 Lilly's Stock price change based on the drug approval data from Table 1 above















	No FDA Review Classification Code (Blockbuster)						
	> 2%	> 2% < 2%		>2%			
Company	Large Decrease	Small Decrease	Small Increase	Large Increase			
Johnson and Johnson							
Pfizer							
Bristol-Myers Squibb			1	2			
Amgen	1	1	2	1			
Biogen			1	1			
Celgene							
Merck		1					
Gilead							
Lilly			1	1			
Totals	1	2	5	5			

Chart 3.1



	Priority Review						
	> 2%	< 2%	< 2%	> 2%			
Company	Large Decrease	Small Decrease	Small Increase	Large Increase			
Johnson and Johnson							
Pfizer	1	2	1				
Bristol-Myers Squibb	1	1	2	1			
Amgen	1						
Biogen							
Celgene				2			
Merck	1	1	1	4			
Gilead	3		1	5			
Lilly	1		1	1			
Totals	8	4	6	13			

Chart 4.1



	Standard Review Drug						
	> 2%	< 2%	< 2%	> 2%			
Company	Large Decrease	Small Decrease	Small Increase	Large Increase			
Johnson and Johnson	1						
Pfizer	5	1	1	7			
Bristol-Myers Squibb							
Amgen							
Biogen				1			
Celgene	1	2		2			
Merck	4	3	2	8			
Gilead		2		3			
Lilly	4	2		1			
Totals	15	10	3	22			

Chart 5.1



REFERENCES

- Bosch, J., & Lee, I. (1994). Wealth Effects of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Decisions. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, *15*(6), 589-599.Retrieved March 3, 2015, from JSTOR.
- FDA Approved Drug Products. (n.d.). Retrieved February 13, 2015, from http://www.

Access data.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs atf da/index.cfm?fuse action=Reports.ReportsMenu

- Kellogg, D., & Charnes, J. (2000). Real-Options Valuations for a Biotechnology Company.*Financial Analysts Journal*, 56(3), 76-84. Retrieved March 3, 2015, from JSTOR.
- Manela, A. (2013). The Value of Diffusing Information. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 111, 181-199. Retrieved February 14, 2015, from Ebsco.
- Perez-Rodriquez, J., & Valcarcel, B. (2012). Do product innovation and news about the R&D process produce large price changes and overreaction? The case of pharmaceutical stock Prices. *Applied Economics*, 44, 2217-2229. Retrieved March 1, 2015, from Ebesco.
- Rothenstein, J., Tomlinson, G., Tannock, I., & Detsky, A. (2011). Company Stock Prices Before and After Public Announcements Related to Oncology Drugs, Retrieved March 3, 2015, from http://jnci.oxfordjournals.org/
- Sarkar, S., & De Jong, P. (2006). Market Response to FDA Announcements. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 46*, 586-597. Retrieved February 17, 2015, from Ebsco

Wharton Research Data Services. (2015, March 9). Retrieved March 9, 2015, from

https://wrds- Web.wharton.upenn.edu/wrds/index.cfm?true